## MOLECULAR MODELING OF MATERIALS AND THEIR AQUEOUS INTERFACES FOR GEOCHEMICAL AND ENVIRONMENTAL APPLICATIONS

Andrey G. Kalinichev

Groupe de Radiochimie, Laboratoire SUBATECH, UMR 6457, Ecole des Mines de Nantes

4 rue Alfred Kastler, BP 20722, 44307 Nantes Cedex 03, France

http://www.emn.fr/z-subatech/kalinich/

Molecular-level understanding of mineral-water interfaces is extremely important for many geochemical, environmental, and technological problems, such as geological carbon sequestration, nuclear waste disposal in geological formations, heterogeneous catalysis, etc. Experimental nanoscale studies of such systems are not always feasible, and their results often require considerable interpretation in the efforts to extract quantitative surface-specific and confinement-specific information from the measurements. Molecular computer simulations significantly complement such efforts by providing invaluable atomic scale background understanding of the specific effects of mineral substrate structure and composition on the structure, dynamics and reactivity of interfacial and nano-confined aqueous phase.

Based on the successful development and implementation of the CLAYFF force field (Cygan et al., 2004), we have in recent years performed a series of molecular dynamics simulations of aqueous interfaces with several representative inorganic and organic nanoporous materials (Kalinichev et al., 2002, 2007, 2010, 2013; Morrow et al., 2013; Wang et al., 2004, 2006, 2009) in order to better understand and quantify the effects of the substrate composition and structure on the properties of interfacial and nano-confined aqueous fluids. Individual H<sub>2</sub>O molecules and hydrated ions at interfaces simultaneously participate in several dynamic processes, which can be characterized by different, but equally important time- and length- scales. The first molecular layer of interfacial water at all substrates is often highly ordered, indicating reduced translational and orientational mobility of the H<sub>2</sub>O molecules. However, this ordering cannot be simply described as "ice-like", but rather resembles the behavior of supercooled water or amorphous ice, although with significant substrate-specific variations. These simulations results help to interpret on the fundamental molecular scale the experimental observations for the same systems from NMR, IR, X-ray, and neutron scattering, and other experimental techniques.

- Cygan R.T., Liang J.-J., Kalinichev A.G. (2004) Molecular models of hydroxide, oxyhydroxide, and clay phases and the development of a general force field. *J. Phys. Chem. B*, **108**, 1255-1266.
- Kalinichev A.G., Kirkpatrick, R.J. (2002) Molecular dynamics modeling of chloride binding to the surfaces of Ca hydroxide, hydrated Ca-aluminate and Ca-silicate phases. *Chem. Mater.*, **14**, 3539-3549.
- Kalinichev A.G., Wang, J., Kirkpatrick R. J. (2007) Molecular dynamics modeling of the structure, dynamics and energetics of mineral-water interfaces: Application to cement materials. *Cem. Concr. Res.*, **37**, 337-347.
- Kalinichev, A.G., Kumar, P., Kirkpatrick, R.J. (2010) Effects of hydrogen bonding on the properties of layered double hydroxides intercalated with organic acids: MD computer simulations. *Phil. Mag.*, **90**, 2475-2488.
- Kalinichev, A.G (2013) Molecular models of natural organic matter and its colloidal aggregation in aqueous solutions: Challenges and opportunities for computer simulations. *Pure Appl. Chem.*, **85**, 149-158.
- L.Narasimhan, Kalinichev, A.G. (2013) On the hydrogen bonding structure at the aqueous interface of ammoniumsubstituted mica: A molecular dynamics simulation. *Zeitschrift für Naturforschung*, **68a**, 91-100.
- Wang J., Kalinichev A.G., Kirkpatrick R.J. (2006) Effects of substrate structure and composition on the structure, dynamics and energetics of water on mineral surfaces: a molecular dynamics modeling study. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta*, **70**, 562-582.
- Wang J., Kalinichev A.G., Kirkpatrick R.J. (2009) Asymmetric hydrogen bonding and orientational ordering of water at hydrophobic and hydrophilic surfaces: A comparison of water/vapor, water/talc, and water/mica interfaces. *J.Phys.Chem.C*, **113**, 11077–11085.